

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MILDE & HOFFBERG, LLP 10 BANK STREET SUITE 460 WHITE PLAINS NY 10606

COPY MAILED

SEP 1 3 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Minoretti et al.

Application Number: 10/502213

Filing Date: 09/17/2004

Attorney Docket Number: GRIMM

235-KFM

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on 14 June, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is DISMISSED.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is not a final agency decision.

This application became abandoned on 17 February, 2007, for failure to file a timely reply to the final Office action mailed on 16 November, 2006, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with \$ 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

were filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 18 June, 2007.

The petition lacks the required reply. The only proper reply to a final Office action is a Notice of Appeal and fee, Request for Continuing Examination and submission under 37 CFR 1.114, a continuing application, or an amendment placing the application in prima facie condition for allowance. The examiner has determined that the amendment filed on 14 June, 2007, does not place the case in prima facie condition for allowance. An Advisory Action Before the Filing of An Appeal Brief is enclosed for petitioners' information.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply.² The extension request filed on 14 June, 2007, was submitted after 16 May, 2007, which was the last day of the three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply to the Office action mailed on 16 November, 2006, and therefore is unnecessary. The extension of time fee paid on 14 June, 2007, will be credited to counsel's deposit account as authorized.³

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petition Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988).

³ Additionally, as a three (3) month shortened statutory period was set by the final Office action, a maximum three (3) month extension of time could be obtained to respond to the final Office action.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

Encl: Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/502,213	MINORETTI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ralph A. Lewis	3732		

	- · ·	7.1. O.I.I.	
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732	,
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE	1000
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff plice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba	nce, which
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f),		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr	iate extension fee
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	insion thereof (37 CFR 41 37(e)) to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	OW);		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	iter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			•
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		li be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>10-15 and 18-23</u> .		•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,16 and 17</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:		M-	-
		Polah A	
		Ratiph A. Lev Primary Exam	
		Au 3737	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 changes the claimed invention from an "appliance" to a "kit of parts," adds a second connecting means to the first module and adds a paragraph of previously unclaimed material to the claim. While the proposal may be allowable, it has not been previously searched or considered in view of the cited prior art.